

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/816,677 03/22/2001		Rainer Patzel	LMPY-4410	5204
	590 03/31/2005		EXAMINER	
STALLMAN & POLLOCK LLP SUITE 2200 353 SACRAMENTO STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			NELSON, FREDA ANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3639	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/31/2009	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~	
~	
- 11	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/816,677	PATZEL ET AL.					
Cince Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Freda Nelson	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06/04/023. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) \square objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/816,677

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This is in response to a letter for a patent filed on March 22, 2001 in which claims 1-7 was presented for examination. Claims 1-7 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 06/04/02 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner. A copy of PTO-1449 is attached hereto.

Drawings

2. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the informal drawings are not of sufficient quality. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, claims 1-7 only recite an abstract idea. In claims 1-7 the recited steps of merely determining a predicted lifetime of one or more components of a laser system; estimating costs for the repair and/or replacement of the components in advance; and scheduling the costs to be paid does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper.

Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claims 1-7 are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,677 Page 4

Art Unit: 3629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4, and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhou et al. (Patent Number 5,260,961) in view of Cornett et al. (5,216,612).
- 5. In claims 1- 4, and 6-7, Zhou et al. disclose that gas and component lifetimes are reported in terms of pulses and "continuous operating hours; and more specifically recent PPI literature on theses commercial devices asserts: "[G] as consumption for 260 hour test averaged about 35 cents per hour wherein test data suggested that discharge tube replacement was desirable after about 300 hours of 2 kHz operation...a new tube with mount can be purchased for \$1380, or PPI can replace only the tube at a cost of \$590 (col. 5, lines 14-25). Zhou et al. does not disclose scheduling the costs to paid at one or more predetermined times. Cornett et al. disclose that replaceable parts are managed using time-phased requirement techniques wherein the planning cycle consists of three steps. First, the time-phase parts requirements are calculated and these requirements come from three sources: parts required to support time-phased maintenance requests, parts defined on planning bills and anticipated parts replacement due to parts exceeding the mean-time

failure rate (col. 15, lines 19-26-40). Cornett further disclose that although the system calculates when to place an order or to advise the rescheduling of an existing purchase order, the Buyer is responsible for the actual company commitment; and the system will not automatically issue or reschedule a purchase order (col. 33, lines 61-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Zhou et al. to include the feature of Cornett to order spare components based on predicted maintenance rather than prescribed inventory levels (Cornett, Abstract).

- 6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhou et al.(Patent Number 5,260,961) in view of Carlesi et al. (Patent Number) 6,028,880).
- 7. In claim 5, Zhou et al. disclose that particularly useful wavelengths and other operating characteristics arise from use of rare-gas-halogen excimers, especially rare-gas monohalides such as xenon chloride, XeCl, krypton fluoride, KrF, and to lesser degree argon and xenon fluoride –ArF and XeF (col. 1, lines 29-39). Zhou et al. further disclose that gas and component lifetimes are reported in terms of pulses and "continuous operating hours; and more specifically recent PPI literature on theses commercial devices asserts: "[G] as consumption for 260 hour test averaged about 35 cents per hour wherein test data suggested that discharge tube replacement was desirable after about 300 hours of 2 kHz operation... A new tube with mount can be purchased for \$1380,

Application/Control Number: 09/816,677

Art Unit: 3629

or PPI can replace only the tube at a cost of \$590 (col. 5, lines 14-24). Zhou et al. does not disclose that the one or more components includes a line-narrowing module. Carlesi et al. discloses a KrF excimer laser system comprising conventional laser chamber 20 containing two elongated electrodes 22 and a laser gas comprised approximately 0.1% fluoride, 1% krypton and 98.9% neon (col. 4, lines 49-54; FIG. 3). Carlesi et al. further disclose that a resonance chamber is defined by outputs coupler 26 and line narrowing module 28 (col. 4, lines 57-58; FIG. 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Zhou et al. to include the feature of Carlesi et al. in order to have an excimer laser system with an automatic fluorine control system to permit precise control of the fluorine concentration within the laser chamber (Carlesi, col. 3, lines 28-31).

Conclusion

- 8. The examiner has cited prior art of interest, for example:
 - 1) Karney (Patent Number 3,582,822), which discloses a laser flash tube.
 - 2) Killpatrick et al. (Patent Number 6,208, 414), which disclose a modular laser gyro.
 - 3) Zarkar, Kaven, "requirements for next-generation gas-flow components", March 2000, Solid State technology, v43, n3, p27

Application/Control Number: 09/816,677

Art Unit: 3629

9306.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Freda Nelson whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0261. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Freda Nelson Examiner Art Unit 3629 Page 7

John G. Weiss

SUPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3300